

Solid Waste Convenience Sites Focus Groups Report

Presented by

Dane Pedersen



Focus Group Participants

Convenience Site Focus Groups

Goal: Determine whether Convenience Sites were a viable option for waste disposal; gather more detailed information about costs, what should be collected, etc.



- **Six Locations** (average 9 residents volunteered to attend per location)
 - 1. Broad River (12)
 - 2. Skyland/South Buncombe (9)
 - 3. Sandy Mush (5)
 - 4. Enka-Candler (8)
 - 5. Big Ivy (9)
 - 6. Black Mountain (9)
- No Municipal Residents
- No Demographic Data Recorded

Focus Group Process

- 1. What do you think of the convenience site model?
- 2. Would you use a convenience site? If so, would you stop using Waste Pro? Or, would you continue to subscribe to Waste Pro AND use convenience sites?
- 3. What types of disposal services would you like to see at convenience sites?
- 4. What payment option resonates with you most?

Focus Group: Key Takeaway

Convenience Sites Are Great – But Too Expensive

• Initial enthusiasm for convenience sites, however, when the potential costs were presented, participants lost their enthusiasm.



Focus Group: Other Issues Discussed

Convenience Site Focus Groups

- Transfer Station and Landfill are too far away for many residents.
- Concern about the trash along the roads, increased traffic, smell, etc. near Convenience Sites.
- Trash hauler monopoly concerns
- There was near equal support for cards/stickers, pay per use, and paying through tax bill.
- Make the Transfer Station more line friendly if possible.
 - Could technology replace human presence at Transfer Stations?



Next Steps

- Not moving forward with convenience sites model study
- Looking into ways to improve the Transfer Station experience for residents
 - Investigate repurposing of existing fleet maintenance area for TS convenience site expansion
 - Use of technology to reduce line
- Focus energy into Waste Pro contract renewal/expansion/RPF discussion outreach with residents

